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#### Abstract

The design and synthesis of renin inhibitors that incorporate the novel dipeptide isostere ( $4 S, 5 S$ ) -5 -amino- 6 -cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxylic acid as a transition-state analogue are described. Titanium-promoted condensation of dilithiated $N$-alkylmethacrylamides with protected amino aldehydes results in efficient preparation of protected dipeptide analogues 7 and 8 . Incorporation of 7 into the partial sequence of angiotensinogen affords potent in vitro inhibitors of human renin. Further chemical manipulation of the unsaturated amide moiety allows the study of structure-activity relationships in both the $\mathrm{P}_{1^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2^{\prime}}$ sites. Details of the syntheses, stereochemical determinations, and in vitro renin inhibition are presented.


The inhibition of renin, an aspartic proteinase whose action initiates the renin-angiotensin cascade, has been the object of intense investigation in recent years. ${ }^{2}$ The potential for treatment of hypertension and related ailments through the inhibition of renin ${ }^{3}$ has resulted in the preparation of a variety of potent renin inhibitors based on the peptide sequence of the natural substrate angiotensinogen (1). Most notable among these are a series of inhibitors that incorporate the hydroxyethylene dipeptide isostere (2) at the scissile site ${ }^{4}$ and a series based on the natural hydroxy amino acid statine (3). ${ }^{5}$ Recently, Boger and co-workers have introduced inhibitors that contain the novel statine analogue 4, derived from cyclohexylalanine, which show dramatically increased potency. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$


Our interest in this area has been focused on the synthesis and evaluation of renin inhibitors based on novel dipeptide analogues. We envisioned that incorporation of

[^0]
( $4 S, 5 S$ )-5-amino-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2carboxylic acid (5) into the scissile site of the angiotensinogen sequence might provide potent inhibitors of human renin. Structurally, 5 embodies several characteristics that we deemed beneficial. In addition to the cyclohexylmethyl side chain, the $S$ stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group promotes tight binding either as a tetrahedral transition-state mimic ${ }^{7}$ or by other mechanism-based inhibition. ${ }^{8}$ Moreover, the methylidene side chain rigidifies the system due to conjugation with the adjacent peptide bond. Finally, the presence of an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amide in the active site presents the possibility of irreversible inactivation through covalent bond formation. The synthesis and biological evaluation of novel, potent renin inhibitors based on dipeptide analogue 5 are reported herein.

## Results and Discussion

The synthetic approach, outlined in Scheme I, follows in part our previously reported synthesis of hydroxyethylene dipeptide isosteres. ${ }^{9}$ Thus, $\beta^{\prime}$-lithiation ${ }^{10}$ of $N$-alkylmethacrylamides with 2 equiv of $n$-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran/hexane gave a symmetrical dianion 6. Subsequent treatment of 6 with chlorotitanium triisopropoxide ${ }^{9}$ followed by Boc-cyclohexylalaninal or Bocleucinal ${ }^{11}$ led to a ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereomeric hydroxy

[^1]amides 7 and 8. In most cases, 7 and 8 were separated chromatographically; however, $7 \mathrm{f} / 8 \mathrm{f}$ and $7 \mathrm{~g} / 8 \mathrm{~g}$ were carried on as inseparable mixtures.
The stereochemistry of 7 a and 8 a was determined by conversion to the corresponding oxazolidinones 9 and 10 (sodium hydride in dimethylformamide). Coupling constants of 5.7 and 7.8 Hz for the ring protons of 9 and 10 ,

$9 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ab}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$

$10 \quad \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ab}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$
respectively, are consistent with trans and cis stereochemistry as shown. ${ }^{12}$ The relative stereochemistry of $7 \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{i}$ was assigned by analogy of the NMR spectra to the spectrum of 7 a . The integrity of the absolute stereochemistry was confirmed by conversion of $\mathbf{7 b}$ to the corresponding ( + )- and ( - )- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid (MTPA) amides ( HCl , dioxane; ( + )- or (-)-MTPA chloride)..$^{13}$ Examination of the proton NMR spectra of the resulting diastereomers indicated that no racemization had occurred, in agreement with previous results. ${ }^{9}$
The conversion of 7 to intact renin inhibitors was accomplished through standard solution-phase peptide methodology. Thus, removal of the Boc protecting group with HCl in dioxane followed by coupling via either mixed anhydride or carbodiimide methods led to compounds 11-32. General procedures are detailed in the Experimental Section, and characterization data are shown in Table I. The inhibitory potencies of 11-32 against purified human renal renin ${ }^{14}$ were determined by radioimmunoassay for angiotensin I production. Details are given in the Experimental Section. Inhibitions, expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, for 11-32 are shown in Table II. For synthetic ease, initial structure-activity studies employed alanine in the $P_{2}$ site in place of histidine. In accordance with previous observations, ${ }^{15}$ we discovered that reasonable potencies can be obtained without the attachment of additional peptide units on the carboxyl terminus of the dipeptide analogue. Thus 11, with only a small alkyl group in the $\mathrm{P}_{2^{\prime}}$ position, has a respectable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$. As expected, ${ }^{6}$ the inclusion of the cyclohexylmethyl side chain at the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ position in 11 and 12 dramatically increases activity ( $20-40$-fold) over 13 and 14 , which contain the native isobutyl side chain. The 30 -fold difference in potency between 13 and 15 , which is derived from 8 h , demonstrates that the $S$ configuration of the hydroxyl group is also crucial for tight binding.

Structure-activity relationships at the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, site are demonstrated with compounds 11, 12, and 16-20. Increasing the bulk of the terminal alkyl group to cyclohexylmethyl or $\beta$-phenylethyl results in significant ( $5-15$-fold) loss of activity. Decreasing the size to a methyl group also results in lowered potency; thus it seems likely that lipophilic interactions in the $\mathrm{P}_{2^{\prime}}$ position are contributing to overall

[^2]Table I. Chemical Data for Renin-Inhibiting Compounds

| no. | synthesis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $R_{f}$ (solvent) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | formula ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | A | 0.38 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 12 | A | 0.32 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 13 | A | 0.37 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 14 | A | 0.32 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 15 | A | 0.30 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 16 | A | 0.32 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 17 | A | 0.39 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 18 | A | 0.29 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 19 | A | 0.17 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 20 | A | 0.40 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{e}$ |
| 21 | B | 0.20 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 22 | A | 0.41 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 23 | C | 0.12 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 24 | B | 0.23 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 25 | A | 0.40 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| 26 | B | 0.16 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 27 | A | 0.43 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 28 | A | 0.42 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 29 | B | 0.07 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 30 | C | 0.10 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 31 | C | 0.12 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 32 | C | 0.18 (B) | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 33 | $f$ | 0.37, 0.40 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| 34 | $f$ | $0.28,0.31$ (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 41 | B | 0.16 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 42 | B | 0.17 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 43 | B | 0.22 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{5}$ |
| 44 | B | 0.24 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{h}$ |
| 45 | B | 0.19 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 46 | B | 0.24 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{i}$ |
| 47 | B | 0.14 (A) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 48 | $f$ | 0.16 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{7}$ |
| 49 | $f$ | 0.14 (C) | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{\text {j}}$ |

${ }^{a}$ General procedures for peptide couplings are given in the Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ TLC solvent systems: A, $7.5 \%$ methanol/ chloroform; B, $10 \%$ methanol/chloroform; $\mathrm{C} ; 2 \%$ isopropylamine/ $2.5 \%$ methanol/chloroform. ${ }^{c}$ Analyses for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of calculated values for formulas shown unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 8.34 ; found, $7.60 .{ }^{e} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 9.25; found, 8.74. $f$ Final synthetic step was not peptide coupling; see Experimental Section. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; N: calcd, 16.83 ; found, 16.00 (see ref 17 ). ${ }^{h} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 16.83 ; found, 15.74 (see ref 17 ). ${ }^{i} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 7.91 ; found, 7.36 ; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ) 733.4055, found 733.4038. ${ }^{j}$ Exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{60^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 714.4554$, found 714.4544 (48), 714.4577 (49).
binding. Further support for this interaction is evident from compound 19 , in which the isopentyl group of 11 is replaced with the polar, isoelectronic 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl function. The result is a ca. 20 -fold loss of activity. Binding can apparently be maintained, however, if the polar group is attached via a longer alkyl chain, as in 20. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the $4 S$ isomer of 20 , as well as for 19 and 26-28, can only be estimated since these compounds were tested as ca. 1:1 mixtures. Assuming the $4 R$ isomer to be much less active, however (vide supra), the potency of 12 is nearly maintained when the isobutyl group is appended to give 20.

As expected, replacement of alanine in the above compounds with histidine in the $P_{2}$ site results in a further boost in potency toward renin, as evidenced by $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $1.5,2$, and 5 nM for 21, 24, and 26, respectively. Leucine and phenylalanine are also tolerated in the $P_{2}$ position without significant loss of activity (cf. 22, 25, 27, and 28). The replacement of Boc by acid-stable groups such as ethoxycarbonyl, acetyl, or tert-butylacetyl does not seriously affect the potency in this series. However, if the protecting group is removed and 3-phenyllactic acid is substituted for phenylalanine to remove the basic nitrogen (compound 32), a 70-fold loss of activity results. Reduced potency is also generally observed for significant changes in the $P_{3}$ site. Replacement of phenylalanine with a hy-

Table II. Inhibition of Human Renin by Compounds Containing (4S,5S)-5-Amino-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxylic Acid

| no. | X | A | B | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Boc | Phe | Ala | $\mathrm{Cyc}^{\text {a }}$ | $i$-Pent | 10 |
| 12 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $i$-Bu | 10 |
| 13 | Boc | Phe | Ala | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $i$-Pent | 200 |
| 14 | Boc | Phe | Ala | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 400 |
| 15 | Boc | Phe | Ala | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $i$-Pent ( $\alpha-\mathrm{OH})^{\text {b }}$ | 6000 |
| 16 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cyc}$ | 50 |
| 17 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 150 |
| 18 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 50 |
| 19 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $400(200)^{\text {c }}$ |
| 20 | Boc | Phe | Ala | Cyc | $\mathrm{DADP}^{\text {d }}$ | $25(13)^{c}$ |
| 21 | Boc | Phe | His | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 1.5 |
| 22 | Boc | Phe | Leu | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 4 |
| 23 | Etoc ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Phe | His | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 3 |
| 24 | Boc | Phe | His | Cyc | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 2 |
| 25 | Etoc | Phe | Leu | Cyc | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 5 |
| 26 | Boc | Phe | His | Cyc | DADP | $5(2.5)^{c}$ |
| 27 | Boc | Phe | Phe | Cyc | DADP | $8.5(4)^{c}$ |
| 28 | Etoc | Phe | Leu | Cyc | DADPf | $10(5)^{c}$ |
| 29 | TBA ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Phe | His | Cyc | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 2 |
| 30 | Ac | Phe | His | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 4 |
| 31 | Boc | Ser | His | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 2000 |
| 32 |  | phenyllactic | His | Cyc | $i$-Pent | 100 |

${ }^{a}$ Cyc $=$ cyclohexyl. ${ }^{b}$ The hydroxyl group in 15 has the $4 R$ stereochemistry. ${ }^{c}$ Estimated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of active $4 S, 5 S$ diastereomer based on 1:1 mixture. ${ }^{d}$ DADP $=3$-( $N, N$-dimethylamino)-2,2-dimethylpropyl. ${ }^{e}$ Etoc $=$ ethoxycarbonyl. ${ }^{f}$ Tested as the hydrochloride salt. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{TBA}=$ tert-butylacetyl.

Table III. Inhibition of Renin by $\alpha, \alpha$-Disubstituted Analogues


| no. | A | X | Y | R | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Ala | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a}$$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a}$ |  | $i$-Pent | 25 |
| 34 | Ala |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 40 |
| 41 | His | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $i$-Pent | 5.5 |
| 42 | His | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OH | $i$-Pent | 50 |
| 43 | His | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 1 |
| 44 | His | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | OH | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 20 |
| 45 | His | OH | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 30 |
| 46 | His | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 0.8 |
| 47 | His | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | OH | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 20 |
| 48 | His | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 15 |
| 49 | His | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | OH | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 35 |

${ }^{a} 1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers.
drophilic amino acid (e.g., 31) causes a drastic (>1000-fold) loss in inhibition.

Structure-activity relationships in the $P_{1^{\prime}}$ site were investigated through chemical manipulation of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amide. Epoxidation of inhibitors 11 and 18 ( $m$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) led in good yield to 33 and 34, respectively, as $1: 1$ mixtures of diastereomers.


In the former case, epoxidation results in loss of activity (Table III) while a small increase is observed in the latter

Scheme II

case. Epoxidation of intermediates 7 provided 35 and 36, which could be separated chromatographically (Scheme II). Further elaboration was accomplished by the addition of various nucleophiles to the less hindered end of the resulting $\alpha, \beta$-epoxy amides. Thus, hydrogenation ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) of 35 a and 36 a gave 1,3 -diols 37 a and 38a, respectively, while addition of sodium azide $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ and 36b led to azido diols $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 b}$, respectively, in quantitative yield. Reaction of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ with isopropylmagnesium chloride was sluggish to give 37 c in moderate yield. Finally, removal of the Boc protecting group of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ ( HCl , dioxane) resulted in concomitant ring opening to give chlorohydrins 37d and 38d.

The stereochemistry of 37a and 38a (and thus 35 and 36) was established by conversion first to lactones 39a and 40a, respectively (xylenes, reflux), ${ }^{9}$ followed by benzoylation ( $\mathrm{PhCOCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}$ ) in order to facilitate spectral interpretation. Analysis of $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 0 b}$ by NOE methods revealed that irradiation of either the methyl resonance ( 1.74 ppm ) or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}(4.50 \mathrm{ppm})$ in $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ results in significant

$\begin{aligned} 39 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{COPh}\end{aligned}$

$40 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(2.32 \mathrm{ppm})$. In contrast, irradiation of the methyl resonance ( 1.73 ppm ) of 40 b results in enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(2.35 \mathrm{ppm})$ while irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}(4.88$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ gives significant enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(2.63 \mathrm{ppm})$. These results are consistent with structures of 39 and 40 as shown.

Removal of the Boc protecting group from 37 and 38 followed by coupling to Boc-Phe-His-OH led to inhibitors 41-47. Inhibitory potencies are shown in Table III. With each set of diastereomers, greater potency is observed with the compounds (e.g., 41, 43, 46) in which the absolute configuration of the lipophilic side chain corresponds to the L-valine side chain of angiotensinogen. Interestingly, azido and chloro diols 43 and 46 show greatest potency against renin whereas either small (41) or large alkyl groups (45) in the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, position result in loss of activity. The amino diols 48 and 49 , produced by reduction $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) of 43 and 44 , respectively, show a further loss, indicating that polar groups are not well-tolerated in the $P_{1^{\prime}}$ site. The loss of potency observed when 21 is compared to 41 suggests that the presence of the second hydroxyl group in compounds 41-49 may be deleterious. Only when lipophilic binding at the $P_{1^{\prime}}$ position is increased as in 43 and 46 is activity maintained.
The unique structure of dipeptide analogue 5 allows for the possibility of irreversible inhibition of renin via conjugate addition to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated system. This possibility was explored in the in vitro assay by increasing the preincubation time prior to addition of angiotensinogen. The results of 5 - and $60-\mathrm{min}$ preincubation times at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration of compounds $11,14,16,18,33$, and 34 are given in Table IV. Within experimental error, no change in inhibitory activity was observed, supporting the idea that inhibitors derived from 5 act as transition-state mimics rather than through irreversible binding. Since these inhibitors lack most of the bulk of the valine side chain of angiotensinogen, it seems likely that the olefinic moiety stabilizes the enzyme-inhibitor complex by providing a favorable conformation for binding.

In conclusion, a new series of renin inhibitors based on the dipeptide analogue 5 has been developed. The synthesis of 5 via dilithiated N -alkylmethacrylamides provides a direct and efficient route to these novel inhibitors. Structure-activity studies have provided a number of compounds that display potent ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.8-2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ ) in vitro inhibition of human renin. Further modifications of this series and in vivo studies will be the subject of future reports.

## Experimental Section

Solvents and other reagents were of reagent grade or higher. Tetrahydrofuran was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl before use. All reactions involving organometallics were performed in flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere. Proton magnetic resonance spectra were measured on a Nicolet QE-300 ( 300 MHz ) instrument using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Elemental analyses were performed by the Analytical Research Department, Abbott Laboratories. Flash column chromatography ${ }^{16}$ was performed on silica gel 60 ,

[^3]Table IV. Inhibition of Renin following Variable Preincubation Times

|  |  | $\%$ inhibn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | concn, ${ }^{a} \mathrm{M}$ | $5 \min ^{b}$ | $60 \min ^{b}$ |
| 11 | $10^{-8}$ | 38 | 31 |
| 14 | $4 \times 10^{-7}$ | 48 | 43 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 49 | 53 |
| 18 | $5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 44 | 37 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 66 | 61 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $4 \times 10^{-8}$ | 49 | 38 |

${ }^{a}$ Concentration of inhibitor: assay was performed at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration for individual inhibitors determined at 5 -min preincubation in another experiment. ${ }^{b}$ Preincubation time prior to addition of angiotensinogen.
$0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ (E. Merck). Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated silica gel F-254 plates ( 0.25 mm ; E. Merck) and was visualized with phosphomolybdic acid.

General Procedure for the Condensation of Dilithiated Amides with Boc-amino Aldehydes. ( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )-N-Isopentyl-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)carbonyl]-amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxamide (7a and 8 a ). A solution of N -isopentylmethacrylamide ( $643 \mathrm{mg}, 4.15$ mmol ) in 25 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran was cooled under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with $3.28 \mathrm{~mL}(8.5$ mmol ) of $n$-butyllithium in hexane. The resulting solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , recooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with $6.2 \mathrm{~mL}(6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of chlorotitanium trisopropoxide in hexane. After again warming to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , the dark solution was recooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with a solution of Boc-cyclohexylalaninal ${ }^{11}(670 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of tetrahydrofuran, stirred for 5 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , and quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The resulting suspension was treated with ca. 50 mL of ether, stirred until the salts became white, extracted with two $100-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of ether, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was separated by flash column chromatography using $4: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate to give $249 \mathrm{mg}(26 \%)$ of $7 \mathrm{a}\left(R_{f} 0.44\right), 292$ $\mathrm{mg}(31 \%)$ of $8 \mathrm{a}\left(R_{f} 0.36,3: 2\right.$ chloroform/ethyl acetate), and 184 $\mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ of a ca. $1: 1$ mixture of the two products.

7a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta{ }_{0.8}-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 9 H ), $2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (br q, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43$ (s, 1 H ), 5.56 (s, 1 H ), 6.03 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 410$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$(4 S, 5 S)-$ and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )- $N$-isobutyl-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2carboxamide ( $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ ): $R_{f}$ ( $3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate 0.39 (7b), 0.31 (8b). 7b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.55$ (m, 8 H ), 0.94 (d, $J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.6-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.8-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (m, 2 H ), 3.11 (dt, $J=13,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3.18$ (dt, $J=13,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.44 (s, 1 H ), 5.59 (s, 1 H ), 6.17 (br t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H})$; MS, $m / z$ 396. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )-(cyclohexylmethyl)-5-[[(tert-bu-tyloxy)carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxamide ( 7 c and 8 c ): $R_{f}$ ( $3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate) 0.46 (7c), 0.38 ( 8 c ). 7c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.0$ (m, 4 H ), 1.1-1.6 (m, $10 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.6-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79$ (br d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.11 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), 6.14 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 436$.
( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )- $N$-(2-phenylethyl)-5-[[(tert-bu-tyloxy)carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2-carboxamide ( 7 d and 8 d ): $R_{f}$ (7:3 chloroform/ethyl acetate) 0.15 ( 7 d ), 0.10 ( 8 d ). 7d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.5$ (m, 8 H ), 1.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.7$ (m, 4 H ), 1.86 (br d, $J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42 (m, 2 H ), 2.87 (t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.5-3.7 (m, 4 H ), $4.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92$ (br s, 1 H ), $5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.4$ (m, 5 H); MS, $m / z 444$.
( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methyl-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2carboxamide ( 7 e and 8e): $R_{f}$ ( $3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate) 0.13 (7e), 0.08 (8e). 7e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.7$ (m, 4 H ), 1.87 (br d, 1 H ), 2.45 (m, 2 H ), $2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 354$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2-( $\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}$-Dimethylamino)ethyl]-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxyhex-1-ene-2carboxamide ( 7 f and 8f): $R_{f} 0.17$ (1:1 methanol/acetonitrile); chromatographic separation of $7 \mathbf{f}$ and $8 \mathbf{f}$ was not possible; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.1-1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.6-1.7$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[2,2-Dimethyl-3-( $\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}$-dimethylamino) propyl]-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxy-hex-1-ene-2-carboxamide ( $\mathbf{7 g}$ and $\mathbf{8 g}$ ): $R_{f} 0.39$ ( $1: 1$ methanol/chloroform); chromatographic separation of 7 g and 8 g was not possible; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.1-1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.6-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (br d, 2 H$), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.4-2.5(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.7$ (m, 4 H ), 4.72 (br d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83$ (br d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.0(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.1(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS, $m / z 453(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )- $N$-isopentyl-5-[[(tert -butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-4-hydroxy-7-methyloct-1-ene-2-carboxamide ( $\mathbf{7 h}$ and $8 \mathbf{~ h}$ ): $R_{f}$ (3:2 chloroform/ethyl acetate) 0.49 ( 7 h ), 0.42 (8h). 7h: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.92$ (d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.93 (d, $J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.1-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ (br s, 1 $\mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 370$.
( $4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $4 R, 5 S$ )-isobutyl-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-4-hydroxy-7-methyloct-1-ene-2-carboxamide ( 7 i and 8 i ): $R_{f}$ ( $3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate) 0.48 ( 7 i ), $0.40(8 i) .7 \mathrm{i}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.92$ (d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.93 (d, $J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.1-1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.83 (heptet, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.44 (m, 2 H ), 3.14 (m, 2 H ), 3.63 (m, 2 H ), $4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), 5.59 (s, 1 H ), 6.16 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 356$.
$(2 R, 4 S, 5 S)-$ and $(2 S, 4 S, 5 S)-5-[[(t e r t-B u t y l o x y)$ -carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxy-2-( $N$-isopentylcarbamoyl) hex-1-ene 1,2-Oxide (35a and 36a). A solution of $7 \mathrm{a}(206 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 8 mL of dichloromethane was treated with $217 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid and allowed to stand at ambient temperature. After 18 h , the solution was diluted with 5 mL of ether, treated with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, stirred vigorously for 1.5 h , extracted with 25 mL of ether, washed sequentially with 3 N NaOH and saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 1.1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ ( $R_{f} 0.49$ ) and 36a ( $R_{f} 0.40$, 3:2 chloroform/ethyl acetate), respectively, in $100 \%$ yield. The diastereomeric products were separated by flash column chromatography using $5.5: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate. 35a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=15$, $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H ), 4.76 (br d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.60 (s, 1 H ), 6.42 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 427$ ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ).

36a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ (s, 9 H ), $1.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=15,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.37 (br t, 1 H$) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 427(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
( $2 R, 4 S, 5 S$ )- and ( $2 S, 4 S, 5 S$ )-5-[[(tert -Butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-4-hydroxy-2-( $N$-isobutyl-carbamoyl)hex-1-ene 1,2 -Oxide ( 35 b and 36 b ). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of 35 a and $36 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ was converted in $100 \%$ yield to a 1.1:1 mixture of $35 \mathrm{~b}\left(R_{f} 0.44\right)$ and 36b ( $R_{f} 0.37,3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate), which were separated by flash column chromatography using 5:1 chloroform/ethyl acetate. 35b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ (dd, $J=14,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 412$.

36b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.83 (br d, 1 H ), 2.51 (dd, $J=15,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84$ (d, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$,
$J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{brt}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 412$.
( $2 S, 4 S, 5 S$ )- $N$-Isopentyl-5-[[(tert-butyloxy) carbonyl]-amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2,4-dihydroxyhexane-2-carboxamide (37a). A suspension of $159 \mathrm{mg}(0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 35 a and 160 mg of $20 \%$ palladium on charcoal in 20 mL of methanol was shaken under a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere for 12 h . After filtration and concentration in vacuo, purification by flash column chromatography using $3: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate gave $99 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%, 81 \%$ based on recovered 35a) of 37a ( $R_{f} 0.45,3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.16$ (dd, $J=15,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2-3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (m, 1 H ), $4.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1 H ), 7.08 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 429$ (M + H).
( $2 R, 4 S, 5 S$ )- $N$-Isopentyl-5-[[(tert -butyloxy) carbonyl]-amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2,4-dihydroxyhexane-2-carboxamide (38a). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $\mathbf{3 7 a}, 145 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ was converted to 99 mg ( $68 \%$ ) of $38 \mathrm{a}\left(R_{f} 0.32\right.$, $3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate) after purification by flash column chromatography using $3: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.89 (br d, 2 H ), 3.27 (q, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44 (br d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09$ (br s, 1 H ), 6.95 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 429$ (M + H).
( $2 R, 4 S, 5 S$ )- $N$-Isobutyl-1-azido-5-[[(tert -butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2,4-dihydroxyhexane-2carboxamide ( 37 b ). A solution of $51.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.124 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$, $24 \mathrm{mg}(0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium azide, and $15 \mathrm{mg}(0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ammonium chloride in 7 mL of methanol was heated at reflux for 18 h . The resulting mixture was partitioned between chloroform and water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give $55 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 7 b}$, which was homogeneous by TLC ( $R_{f} 0.54$, 3:2 chloroform/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ (d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) ; IR ( KBr$) 2103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 456(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
( $2 S, 4 S, 5 S$ )-N-Isobutyl-1-azido-5-[[(tert-butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2,4-dihydroxyhexane-2carboxamide ( $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ ). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $37 \mathrm{~b}, 50 \mathrm{mg}(0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 36 b was converted to 54 mg ( $99 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ ( $R_{f} 0.46,3: 2$ chloroform/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.7-3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.98 (br s, 1 H ), 7.22 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 456(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S$ )-N-Isobutyl-2-[[(tert-butyloxy) carbonyl]-amino]-1-cyclohexyl-3,5-dihydroxy-7-methyloctane-5carboxamide ( 37 c ). A solution of $21.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ in 1 mL of tetrahydrofuran was cooled under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ of isopropylmagnesium chloride in ether. After 45 min , the mixture was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted with ether, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Separation by flash column chromatography using $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate gave 6 mg ( $25 \%, 57 \%$ based on recovered 35 b ) of 37 c ( $R_{f} 0.30,6: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.96 (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.45 (s, 9 H ), 2.06 (dd, $J=$ $14,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (brd, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72$ (brd, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.32 (br s, 1 H ), 7.25 (br t, 1 H ); MS, $m / z 457$ (M+H).
(3S ,5S, $1^{\prime}$ S ) -5-[1-[[(tert-Butyloxy)carbonyl]amino]-2-cyclohexylethyl]-3-hydroxy-3-methyldihydrofuran-2(3H)one (39a). A solution of $16 \mathrm{mg}(0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 37 a in 5 mL of xylenes was heated at reflux for 8 h . After removal of the solvent, purification by flash column chromatography using $3: 1$ chloroform/ethyl acetate afforded $7.7 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$ of $39 \mathrm{a}\left(R_{f} 0.16,4: 1\right.$ chloroform/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.7$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.45 (s, 9 H ), $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (m, 1 H ), 3.90 (m, 1 H ), 4.36 (br t, 1 H ), 4.51 (br d, 1 H ); MS, $m / z$ 341.
(3R,5S, $1^{\prime} S$ )-5-[1-[[(tert -Butyloxy)carbonyl]amino]-2-cyclohexylethyl]-3-hydroxy-3-methyldihydrofuran-2( $3 H$ )-one ( 40 a ). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $39 \mathbf{a}, 17 \mathrm{mg}(0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 38 a was converted to $5.9 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$ of $40 \mathrm{a}\left(R_{f} 0.12,3: 1\right.$ hexane/ethyl acetate) after purification by flash column chromatography using $3: 1$ hexane/
ethyl acetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50$ (s, 3 H ), 1.82 (br d, 1 H ), 2.00 (dd, $J=14,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.35 (m, 2 H ), $3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS, $m / z 341$.
( $\mathbf{3 S}, \mathbf{5 S}, 1^{\prime} S$ ) -3-(Benzoyloxy)-5-[1-[[(tert -butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-2-cyclohexylethyl]-3-methyldihydro-furan-2 (3H)-one (39b). A solution of $6.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.019 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 39a, $9 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ of benzoyl chloride, $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine, and 1 mg of 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine in 0.3 mL of dichloromethane was allowed to stand at ambient temperature for 26 h . Extractive workup followed by flash column chromatography using 4:1 hexane/ethyl acetate afforded $6.6 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of 39 b ( $R_{f} 0.25$, 3:1 hexane/ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.8$ ( m , 12 H ), 1.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.74 (s, 3 H ), 1.85 (br d, 1 H ), 2.32 (dd, $J=$ $13,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.81 (dd, $J=13,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ (m, 1 H ), 4.95 (br d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); irradiation at either 1.74 ppm or 4.50 ppm resulted in NOE enhancement at 2.32 ppm ; MS, $m / z 446$ (M + H).
( $3 R, 5 S, 1^{\prime} S$ ) -3-(Benzoyloxy)-5-[1-[[(tert -butyloxy)-carbonyl]amino]-2-cyclohexylethyl]-3-methyldihydro-furan-2(3H)-one (40b). In a manner analogous to the manner of prepraration of $39 \mathrm{~b}, 5.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 40 a was converted to $4.0 \mathrm{mg}(56 \%)$ of 40 b ( $R_{f} 0.35,3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate) following purification by flash column chromatography using $5: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.8-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (s, 9 H ), 1.73 (s, 3 H ), 2.35 (dd, $J=15,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.63 (dd, $J=15,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ ( $\mathrm{brd}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.88 ( $\mathrm{brt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ); irradiation at 1.73 ppm resulted in NOE enhancement at 2.35 ppm ; irradiation at 4.88 ppm resulted in NOE enhancement at $2.63 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / z 446(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
General Procedure for Peptide Couplings. Procedure A: $\boldsymbol{N}$-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-[(tert-Butyloxy)carbonyl]-L-phenylalanyl-L-ala-nyl]-5( $S$ )-amino-6-cyclohexyl-4( $S$ )-hydroxy- 2 -( $N$-iso-pentylcarbamoyl)hex-1-ene (11). Compound 7a ( $31.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077$ mmol ) was treated with 0.5 mL of HCl in dioxane ( 4 M ) and allowed to stand at ambient temperature for 1 h . After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was treated twice with 0.5 mL of anhydrous ether followed each time by concentration in vacuo. The crude amine hydrochloride, obtained as a white solid, was dissolved in 0.6 mL of 2:1 dimethylformamide/dichloromethane and treated with $8.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4-methylmorpholine. A solution of $39 \mathrm{mg}(0.115 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Boc-Phe-Ala-OH and $13 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( 0.12 mmol ) of 4-methylmorpholine in 0.5 mL of dichloromethane and 0.1 mL of dimethylformamide was cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of isobutyl chloroformate. After being stirred for 5 min , the solution was treated with the solution of the neutralized amine hydrochloride and stirred at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h and at ambient temperature for 2 h . After dilution with ca. 10 mL of ethyl acetate, the solution was washed sequentially with 1 mL of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and 1 mL of saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Separation by flash column chromatography using $2.5 \%$ methanol in chloroform gave 27 mg ( $56 \%$ ) of 11 , which was recrystallized from chloroform/hexane.

Procedure B: $\boldsymbol{N}$-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-[(tert-Butyloxy)carbonyl]-L-phenylalanyl-L-histidyl]-5(S)-amino-1-azido-6-cyclohexyl$2(R), 4(S)$-dihydroxy- 2 -( $N$-isobutylcarbamoyl)hexane (43). A solution of the crude amine hydrochloride (prepared from 20.7 mg ( 0.0455 mmol ) of 37 b in accordance with procedure A), 20.1 $\mathrm{mg}(0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Boc-Phe-His-OH, $18 \mathrm{mg}(0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $1-$ hydroxybenzotriazole monohydrate, and $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -methylmorpholine in 0.8 mL of dimethylformamide was cooled to $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 9.6 mg ( 0.050 mmol ) of 1-ethyl-3-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride. The resulting solution was stirred at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and slowly allowed to warm to ambient temperature overnight. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography using $5 \%$ methanol/chloroform gave $24.3 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%)$ of 43 as a white solid.

Procedure C: $\boldsymbol{N}$-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-L-phenylalanyl-L-histidyl]-5(S)-amino-6-cyclohexyl-4(S)-hydroxy-2-(N-isopentylcarbamoyl)hex-1-ene (23). In accordance with procedure B, $295 \mathrm{mg}(0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 7 a was deprotected and coupled to Boc-His-OH to give, after purification by flash column chromatography using $7.5 \%$ methanol/chloroform, $298 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of $N-[N-[($ tert-butyloxy)carbonyl]-L-histidyl]-5(S)-amino-6-cyclo-hexyl-4(S)-hydroxy-2-( $N$-isopentylcarbamoyl) hex-1-ene. A portion $(42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled in similar fashion to (eth-oxycarbonyl)-L-phenylalanine to give, after flash column chromatography using $7.5 \%$ methanol/chloroform, $29.4 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$ of 23.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-[(tert-Butyloxy) carbonyl]-L-phenylalanyl-L-ala-nyl]-5(S)-amino-6-cyclohexyl-4 ( $S$ )-hydroxy-2-( $N$-iso-pentylcarbamoyl)hex-1-ene 1,2 -Oxide (33). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 b}, 11 \mathrm{mg}$ ( 0.018 mmol ) of 11 was converted to $7.6 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$ of 33 following purification by flash column chromatography using $2 \%$ methanol/chloroform.
$N-[N-[(t e r t-B u t y l o x y) c a r b o n y l]-L-p h e n y l a l a n y l-\mathrm{L}-a l a-$ nyl]-5(S)-amino-6-cyclohexyl-4(S)-hydroxy-2-( $N$-methyl-carbamoyl)hex-1-ene 1,2 -Oxide (34). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 b}, 30 \mathrm{mg}(0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 18 was converted to $29.8 \mathrm{mg}(97 \%)$ of 34 , which was recrystallized from dichloromethane/ether/hexane.

1-Amino-5 (S)-[[ $N$-[(tert-butyloxy) carbonyl]-L-phenyl-alanyl-L-histidyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2( $\boldsymbol{R}$ ),4(S)-di-hydroxy-2-( $\boldsymbol{N}$-isobutylcarbamoyl) hexane (48). A mixture of $8.2 \mathrm{mg}(0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $43,2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ of acetic acid, and ca. 5 mg of $10 \%$ palladium on carbon in 0.5 mL of methanol was stirred under a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere for 16 h . After filtration and removal of the solvent, the residue was passed through a column of basic alumina using $1: 1$ methanol/ethyl acetate, concentrated, diluted with chloroform, filtered, and concentrated to give 6.9 $\mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of 48 as a white solid.

1-Amino-5(S)-[[N-[(tert-butyloxy)carbonyl]-L-phenyl-alanyl-L-histidyl]amino]-6-cyclohexyl-2(S),4(S)-di-hydroxy-2-( $\boldsymbol{N}$-isobutylcarbamoyl)hexane (49). In a manner analogous to the manner of preparation of $48,9.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 44 was converted to $7.2 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of 49 after purification on basic alumina using $1: 1$ methanol/ethyl acetate.

Biological Methods. Purified human renal renin ${ }^{14}$ was assayed by utilizing pure human angiotensinogen ${ }^{18}$ at pH 6.0 in maleate buffer. Tests compounds were dissolved in DMSO and diluted so that prior to addition to the assay system the solutions were $10 \%$ in DMSO and $0.5 \%$ in BSA. The final incubation mixture ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) contained the following: maleate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,0.135$ M; EDTA, 3 mM ; PMSF, 1.4 mM ; angiotensinogen, $0.21 \mu \mathrm{M}$; renin, $0.24 \mathrm{mGu} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{BSA}, 0.44 \%$; DMSO, $1 \%$. At least three different concentrations of inhibitor which bracketed the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ were preincubated with renin for 5 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, substrate was added, and the incubation was allowed to proceed for 10.0 min . The reaction was stopped by freezing the solution in a methanol/dry ice bath, and after thawing at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an aliquot was analyzed for angiotensin I by radioimmunoassay utilizing a commercial kit (NEN Research). The percent inhibition of the reaction was determined, and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (the concentration causing $50 \%$ inhibition) was calculated by regression analysis. The reaction time of 10 min was on the linear portion of the incubation time-angiotensin I generation curve, and at the highest concentrations tested, none of the compounds cross-reacted with the antibody to angiotensin I. The presence of $1 \%$ DMSO in the final incubation mixture caused no statistically significant effect on the renin activity.
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